

# TYPE SETUPS for Predicate Logic

Peter Aczel

Manchester

(with some help from  
Joao Belo - MSc thesis)

I. Motivations (4)

II. Type Setups (4)

III. DS-logic over a type setup (3)

IV. Some signatures for DS-logic (1)

Types 2004

Paris

December 2004

# Type Setups - Motivation 1

- There are advantages to logic-enriched type theories where predicate logic is simply added on top of a type theory.

This allows the freedom to interpret or reinterpret the logic in different ways; e.g.

- props-as-types
- props as objects of type  $\text{Prop}$  (in  $\mathcal{C}$ )
- sheaf reinterpretations
- $\neg\neg$  reinterpretation
- ⋮

- What is needed from a type theory in order to logic-enrich it?

Answer : A type setup

# Type Setups - Motivation 2

- We want to generalise many-sorted logic to dependently-sorted logic (DS-logic)
- The notion of a type setup captures the needed properties of sorts, terms and atomic formulae for DS-logic
- The details of how expressions are formed using a signature of sort/term/prop - constructors is abstracted away
- We keep variables and contexts of variable declarations as in dependent type theory.
- We axiomatize how substitutions work.

a type theory with  
predicate symbols

a signature of  
sort/item/prop  
constructors

↓ ↓  
a type setup

↓  
a ?-category with atomic props

?-category = {  
category with families  
contextual category  
category with attributes  
type category  
⋮

Many roughly equivalent category  
theoretic notions with many authors.

# Some References

J. Cartmell (Ph.D thesis, 1978  
APAL, 1986)

Generalised Algebraic Theories and  
Contextual categories.

P. Dybjer (Types, 1995)

Internal Type Theory  
(categories with families)

M. Makkai (unpublished? monograph, 1995)

FOLDS

(DS-logic without function symbols)

A type setup for DS-logic consists of

- a category of contexts  $\Gamma$  and substitution maps  $\sigma: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,
- for each context  $\Gamma$ 
  - a set  $Ty(\Gamma)$  of  $\Gamma$ -types
  - a set  $Tm(\Gamma, A)$  of  $\Gamma$ -terms of type  $A$  for each  $\Gamma$ -type  $A$
  - a set  $At(\Gamma)$  of atomic  $\Gamma$ -formulae
- for each substitution map  $\sigma: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  substitution operations

$$\begin{aligned} A \in Ty(\Gamma) &\longmapsto A\sigma \in Ty(\Delta) \\ a \in Tm(\Gamma, A) &\longmapsto a\sigma \in Tm(\Delta, A\sigma) \\ \theta \in At(\Gamma) &\longmapsto \theta\sigma \in At(\Delta) \end{aligned}$$

These must satisfy TS1 - TS4

# TS1 : Substitution Action

- For each identity  $\text{id}_\Gamma : \Gamma \rightarrow \Gamma$

$$\begin{aligned} A \text{id}_\Gamma &= A && (A \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma)) \\ a \text{id}_\Gamma &= a && (a \in \text{Tm}(\Gamma, A)) \\ \theta \text{id}_\Gamma &= \theta && (\theta \in \text{At}(\Gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

- For maps  $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$ ,  $\tau : \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \Delta$

$$\begin{aligned} A(\sigma \circ \tau) &= (A\sigma)\tau && (A \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma)) \\ a(\sigma \circ \tau) &= (a\sigma)\tau && (a \in \text{Tm}(\Gamma, A)) \\ \theta(\sigma \circ \tau) &= (\theta\sigma)\tau && (\theta \in \text{At}(\Gamma)) \end{aligned}$$

## TS2: Contexts

- Contexts  $\Gamma$  have the form

$$x_1: A_1, \dots, x_n: A_n$$

where

$x_1, \dots, x_n$  are distinct variables

$$A_i \in \text{Ty}(\underbrace{x_1: A_1, \dots, x_{i-1}: A_{i-1}}_{\Gamma_{<i}}) \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

- Then

$$\begin{aligned} A_i &\in \text{Ty}(\Gamma) \\ x_i &\in \text{Tm}(\Gamma, A_i) \end{aligned} \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

## TS3: Substitution Maps

- Substitution maps  $\sigma: \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$  have the form

$$[x_1 := a_1, \dots, x_n := a_n]_{\Delta \rightarrow \Gamma}$$

where

$$a_i \in \text{Tm}(\Delta, A_i[x_1 := a_1, \dots, x_{i-1} := a_{i-1}]_{\Delta \rightarrow \Gamma_{<i}})$$

- Then

$$x_i \sigma = a_i \quad (i=1, \dots, n)$$

and is the unique such map.

## TS4: Weakening

•  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$  if every declaration in  $\Gamma$  is in  $\Delta$

• If  $\Gamma \subseteq \Delta$  then

$$Ty(\Gamma) \subseteq Ty(\Delta)$$

$$Tm(\Gamma, A) \subseteq Tm(\Delta, A) \quad (A \in Ty(\Gamma))$$

$$At(\Gamma) \subseteq At(\Delta)$$

and

$$A\pi = A \quad (A \in Ty(\Gamma))$$

$$a\pi = a \quad (a \in Tm(\Gamma, A))$$

$$\theta\pi = \theta \quad (\theta \in At(\Gamma))$$

when

$$\pi = [x_1 := x_1, \dots, x_n := x_n]_{\Delta \rightarrow \Gamma} : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$$

where  $\Gamma$  is  $(x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n)$

# DS-logic over a type setup

- $\Gamma$ -formulae  $\varphi$

$$\varphi ::= \perp \mid \top \mid (\varphi_1 \rightarrow \varphi_2) \mid (\forall x:A) \varphi_0$$

$\perp$  atomic  $\Gamma$ -formula

$\varphi_1, \varphi_2$   $\Gamma$ -formulae

$\varphi_0$   $(\Gamma, x:A)$ -formula

- Sequents  $(\Gamma) \Phi \Rightarrow \varphi$

$\Phi$  list of  $\Gamma$ -formulae

- Inference Rules

standard for classical logic

e.g.

$$\frac{(\Gamma, x:A) \Phi \Rightarrow \varphi_0}{(\Gamma) \Phi \Rightarrow (\forall x:A) \varphi_0} \quad \frac{(\Gamma) \Phi \Rightarrow (\forall x:A) \varphi_0}{(\Gamma) \Phi \Rightarrow \varphi_0[a/x]}$$

$a$  is a  $\Gamma$ -term of type  $A$

$$[a/x] = [x_1 := a_1, \dots, x_n := a_n, x := a]_{\Gamma \rightarrow (\Gamma, x:A)}$$

$$: \Gamma \rightarrow (\Gamma, x:A)$$

where  $\Gamma$  is  $(x_1:A_1, \dots, x_n:A_n)$

# Semantics for DS-logic

- An interpretation  $\mathcal{A}$  for a type setup consists of, for each context  $\Gamma$ 
  - a set  $[\Gamma]^{\mathcal{A}}$  of tuples  $\vec{u}$
  - a function  $[\sigma]^{\mathcal{A}} : [\Delta]^{\mathcal{A}} \rightarrow [\Gamma]^{\mathcal{A}}$  for each  $\sigma : \Delta \rightarrow \Gamma$
  - for each  $\vec{u} \in [\Gamma]^{\mathcal{A}}$ 
    - $$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{a set } [A]_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{u}) \quad (A \in \text{Ty}(\Gamma)) \\ [a]_{\Gamma, A}^{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{u}) \in [A]_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{u}) \quad (a \in \text{tm}(\Gamma, A)) \\ [\theta]_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}} \subseteq [\Gamma]^{\mathcal{A}} \quad (\theta \in \text{At}(\Gamma)) \end{array} \right.$$

satisfying . . .

- We can define " $\mathcal{A} \models_{\Gamma} \varphi[\vec{u}]$ " as usual

e.g.  $\mathcal{A} \models_{\Gamma} \theta[\vec{u}]$  iff  $\vec{u} \in [\theta]_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}}$

$\mathcal{A} \models_{\Gamma} (\forall x:A) \varphi_0[\vec{u}]$  iff

for all  $v \in [A]_{\Gamma}^{\mathcal{A}}(\vec{u})$

$\mathcal{A} \models_{\Gamma, x:A} \varphi[\vec{u}, v]$  III:

# Soundness-Completeness Theorem

$\Sigma \vdash \varphi$  iff  $(\exists \Phi) \Phi \Rightarrow \varphi$  can be proved  
for some list  $\Phi$  of sentences  
from  $\Sigma$

$\Sigma \models \varphi$  iff  $(\forall \psi \in \Sigma)(\mathcal{A} \models \psi) \Rightarrow \mathcal{A} \models \varphi$   
for every interpretation  $\mathcal{A}$

Theorem  $\Sigma \vdash \varphi$  iff  $\Sigma \models \varphi$

Proof: As usual  
e.g. use Henkin method  
via Extension Lemma:

If  $\Sigma$  is a consistent set of sentences  
of a type setup  $\mathbb{T}$  and  
 $(\exists x:A) \varphi_0 \in \Sigma$  then  $\mathbb{T}$  can be  
extended to a type setup  $\mathbb{T}[c:A]$   
with  $c$  a new closed term of  
type  $A$  so that  $\Sigma \cup \{\varphi_0[c/x]\}$   
is a consistent set of sentences  
of  $\mathbb{T}[c:A]$ .

# Some Signatures for DS-logic

- the notion of a category

Obj **sort**

Hom( $a, b : \text{Obj}$ ) **sort**

Id( $a : \text{Obj}$ ) : Hom( $a, a$ )

Comp( $a, b, c : \text{Obj}$ ,  
     $f : \text{Hom}(b, c)$ ,  
     $g : \text{Hom}(a, b)$   
    ) : Hom( $a, c$ )

- an unusual, but coherent, signature

1)  $F(y : F(c))$  **sort**

2)  $c : F(c)$

We can derive

i)  $\Rightarrow c : F(c)$  by 2)

ii)  $\Rightarrow F(c)$  **sort** by 1) and i)

iii)  $y : F(c) \Rightarrow F(y)$  **sort** by 1) and ii)

- 1) is coherent by iii)  
2) is coherent by ii)