

# *Abstract Syntax Via Containers*

---

---

*Neil Ghani*

Dept of Maths and Computer Science  
University of Leicester

(Also Michael Abbott, Thorsten Altenkirch, Tarmo Uustalu)

## *An Overview of the Talk*

---

---

- **Abstract Syntax:** Variable binding via initial algebra
  - A semantics in functor categories
  - Examples are nests, lambda terms and explicit substitutions
- **Containers:** A semantic approach to concrete data structures
  - Fundamental idea of data stored at locations in memory
  - Strictly positive types (lists, trees etc) are containers
- **Ambition:** Do algorithms for lists, trees etc generalise smoothly to nests, lambda terms and explicit substitutions etc?
  - Yes if these examples are containers

## *Abstract Syntax*

---

---

## What is Abstract Syntax

---

- **Examples:** Nests, Lambda terms and Explicit Substitutions are canonical examples of constrained datatypes, everything, and abstract machines

$$N(X) = X + N(X \times X)$$

$$L(X) = X + L(X) \times L(X) + L(X + 1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(X) &= X + E(EX) \\ &= X + \int \mathcal{C}(n, EX) \times E(n) \end{aligned}$$

- **Solutions:** Rewrite and solve in functor categories

$$N = \text{Id} + N \circ \Delta$$

$$L = \text{Id} + \Delta \circ L + L \circ \delta$$

$$E = \text{Id} + E \circ E$$

where  $\Delta(X) = X \times X$  and  $\delta(X) = X + 1$

## *A Few Pesky Details*

---

- **Key Idea:** Solve  $F = \Phi(F)$  where  $\Phi : [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}] \rightarrow [\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}]$ 
  - If  $\mathcal{C}$  has  $\omega$ -colimits, so has  $[\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}]$
  - If  $\Phi$  is built from  $K_F, +, \times, \circ$ , then  $\Phi$  preserves  $\omega$ -colimits
  - Size matters - use lfp categories. Others use variants of Sets
- **Theorem:** Such  $\Phi$  have an initial algebra. Tarmo showed that such structures are often monadic.
- **Reflection:** This is great because we love initial algebra semantics. But
  - Are these examples concrete data structures
  - Is lfp-ness the right approach

## *Containers*

---

## What are Containers

---

- **Lists:** Given by a length and a mapping of cells to data

$$\text{List}(X) = \sum_{n:\mathbb{N}}. \{0, \dots, n-1\} \longrightarrow X$$

- **Pairs:** One shape containing two positions for data

$$X \times X = \sum_{s:1..2} \longrightarrow X$$

- **Definition:** A container is a dependent type  $s : S \vdash P_s$  type

- A presentation of  $T_{S,P}(X) = \sum_{s:S} P_s \longrightarrow X$
- Formalises the idea of data stored at memory locations
- Containers are more general than polynomial functors.

## Some Results about Containers

- **Lemma 1:** Strictly positive types are containers.

$$\tau := X \mid \tau + \tau \mid \tau \times \tau \mid K_A \mid \mu X.\tau \mid \nu X.\tau \mid \tau \circ \tau$$

- **Lemma 2:** Semantics require LCCC + W-types. That is, W-types calculates shapes and positions.
- **Lemma 3:** W-types = least fixed points of containers
  - A semantic definition of W-types
- **Lemma 4:** Containers can be differentiated etc (McBride, Hancock)



## *Abstract Syntax Via Containers*

---

*From the work on SP types*

---

- If  $NX = X + N(X \times X)$  satisfies  $N = T_{S,P}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}S &= 1 + S \\P(0) &= 1 \\P(n + 1) &= P(n) + P(n)\end{aligned}$$

- If  $LX = X + LX \times LX + L(X + 1)$  satisfies  $L = T_{S,P}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}S &= 1 + S \times S + \Sigma s : S.P(s) \rightarrow \text{Bool} \\P(*) &= 1 \\P(s_1, s_2) &= P(s_1) + P(s_2) \\P(s, f) &= \Sigma t : P(s).\text{if } f(t) \text{ then } 1 \text{ else } 0\end{aligned}$$

- If  $EX = X + E(EX)$  satisfies  $E = T_{S,P}$  then

$$\begin{aligned}S &= 1 + \Sigma s : S.P(s) \rightarrow S \\P(*) &= 1 \\P(s, f) &= \Sigma p : P(s).P(fp)\end{aligned}$$

## A grammar of higher order datatypes

- **Motivation:** If so algorithms such as zippers, differentiation, resource analysis may generalise
- **Question:** A grammar of higher order datatypes?

– Let  $n$  denote  $[\mathcal{C}^n, \mathcal{C}]$  and  $\bar{m} \rightarrow n = m_1 \times \cdots \times m_k \rightarrow n$

$$\frac{}{X_i : \bar{m} \rightarrow m_i} \qquad \frac{F : n}{K_F : \bar{m} \rightarrow n}$$

$$\frac{\phi : \bar{m}, n \rightarrow n}{\mu\phi, \nu\phi : \bar{m} \rightarrow n} \qquad \frac{\phi : \bar{m} \rightarrow n \quad \psi_i : \bar{k} \rightarrow m_i}{\phi(\psi_1, \dots, \psi_r) : \bar{k} \rightarrow n}$$

Can represent  $E, L, N$  and define  $[[\phi]]$  as a functor.

– **Problem:** But we wanted containers, not functors!

## Container Transformers

---

- Let  $G_n$  be the category of  $n$ -ary containers
  - Any  $\phi : n \rightarrow n$  is a container transformer  $[[\phi]] : G_n \rightarrow G_n$
  - $\phi$ -preserves filtered colimits (of a certain size)
- **But:**  $G_n$  doesn't have  $\omega$ -colimits
  - But  $G_n$  has enough  $\omega$ -colimits - cartesian chains!
  - So  $\mu\phi : n$  is a container as required
- **Future Work:** Replace size conditions, lfp-conditions with  $W$ -types. EPSRC grant for RA and PhD student to
  - Dependent containers, resource containers, generic navigation algorithms on containers ...