

OhML

(The “Zen Essence” of Methods-as-Functions)

$(e.m) \rightsquigarrow (m e)$

Arnaud Spiwack

ENS Cachan

joint work with

Luigi Liquori

INRIA Sophia

OhML

Motivations

Modeling Method Call via Function Application

- A modular integer would feature:
 - ★ An implicit type: `int`
 - ★ An addition function `plus : int → int → int`
 - ★ Addition will be performed like: `plus 3 5`

Modeling Method Call via Function Application

- A modular integer would feature:
 - ★ An implicit type: `int`
 - ★ An addition function `plus : int → int → int`
 - ★ Addition will be performed like: `plus 3 5`

- An objective integer would be:
 - ★ A class: `int`
 - ★ Featuring an addition method `plus : int → int`
 - ★ Addition will be performed like: `3.plus 5`
 - ★ Moreover `plus` is not “first-class”, *i.e.* it cannot be passed as an argument or be a result of a call

Modeling Method Call via Function Application

- A modular integer would feature:
 - ★ An implicit type: `int`
 - ★ An addition function `plus : int → int → int`
 - ★ Addition will be performed like: `plus 3 5`

- An objective integer would be:
 - ★ A class: `int`
 - ★ Featuring an addition method `plus : int → int`
 - ★ Addition will be performed like: `3.plus 5`
 - ★ Moreover `plus` is not “first-class”, *i.e.* it cannot be passed as an argument or be a result of a call

- Though they have the same semantics, they have a radically different syntax. And functions designed to work with one do not work straightforwardly with the other

Simple, Uniform, and Powerful

- Simple functional calculus *à la* ML with fixpoint, simple objects with `this`, no inheritance and message sending via functional application ... this means that for

-

$$\text{point} \triangleq \text{obj } \text{this} \rightarrow \text{data } x = 1 \text{ data } \text{getx} = (x \text{ this})$$

... we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{fun } z \rightarrow (z \text{ point})) \underbrace{((\text{fun } z \rightarrow z) \text{ getx})}_{\text{}} &\rightarrow \underbrace{(\text{fun } z \rightarrow (z \text{ point})) \text{ getx}}_{\text{}} \\ &\rightarrow \underbrace{(\text{getx point})}_{\text{}} \\ &\rightarrow \underbrace{(x \text{ point})}_{\text{}} \\ &\rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

Simple, Uniform, and Powerful

- Simple functional calculus *à la* ML with fixpoint, simple objects with `this`, no inheritance and message sending via functional application ... this means that for

-

$$\text{point} \triangleq \text{obj this} \rightarrow \text{data x} = 1 \text{ data getx} = (\text{x this})$$

... we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{fun z} \rightarrow (\text{z point})) \underbrace{((\text{fun z} \rightarrow \text{z}) \text{getx})}_{\text{}} &\rightarrow \underbrace{(\text{fun z} \rightarrow (\text{z point})) \text{getx}}_{\text{}} \\ &\rightarrow \underbrace{(\text{getx point})}_{\text{}} \\ &\rightarrow \underbrace{(\text{x point})}_{\text{}} \\ &\rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

- A sound (message-not-found preventing) first-order type system with width subtyping

Simple, Uniform, and Powerful

- Simple functional calculus *à la* ML with fixpoint, simple objects with `this`, no inheritance and message sending via functional application ... this means that for

-

$$\text{point} \triangleq \text{obj } \text{this} \rightarrow \text{data } x = 1 \text{ data } \text{getx} = (x \text{ this})$$

... we have that:

$$\begin{aligned} (\text{fun } z \rightarrow (z \text{ point})) \underline{((\text{fun } z \rightarrow z) \text{getx})} &\rightarrow \underline{(\text{fun } z \rightarrow (z \text{ point})) \text{getx}} \\ &\rightarrow \underline{(\text{getx point})} \\ &\rightarrow \underline{(x \text{ point})} \\ &\rightarrow 1 \end{aligned}$$

- A sound (message-not-found preventing) first-order type system with width subtyping
- Decidable (?) type inference (plug'n play with Damas-Milner W)

Summarizing

- An alternative to **row-polymorphism** *à la* Wand, just record-types and simple subtyping, no polymorphism (yet)
- Method names are first-class citizens, *i.e.* they can be passed as function arguments (in a single dispatch setting)

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow x) \text{ getx} \mapsto \text{getx}$$

Summarizing

- An alternative to **row-polymorphism** *à la* Wand, just record-types and simple subtyping, no polymorphism (yet)
- Method names are first-class citizens, *i.e.* they can be passed as function arguments (in a single dispatch setting)

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow x) \text{ get } x \mapsto \text{get } x$$

- Method call reduced to function application (plus a method lookup of course!)

$$m \text{ (obj } x \rightarrow o) \mapsto \text{mbody}(o; m)[\text{obj } x \rightarrow o/x]$$

- Inheritance is out of the scope of this paper; however, it would not be difficult to add an object-based inheritance
- A decidable type inference algorithm is our current challenge

Summarizing

- An alternative to **row-polymorphism** *à la* Wand, just record-types and simple subtyping, no polymorphism (yet)
- Method names are first-class citizens, *i.e.* they can be passed as function arguments (in a single dispatch setting)

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow x) \text{ get } x \mapsto \text{get } x$$

- Method call reduced to function application (plus a method lookup of course!)

$$m \text{ (obj } x \rightarrow o) \mapsto mbody(o; m)[\text{obj } x \rightarrow o/x]$$

- Inheritance is out of the scope of this paper; however, it would not be difficult to add an object-based inheritance
- A decidable type inference algorithm is our current challenge
- **OhML** use the most economic type theory for a rich list of features:
Great value for money guaranteed! :-)

OhML

The Syntax

OhML's Syntax

$$e ::= c \mid m \mid x \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \mid \text{obj } x \rightarrow o \mid e e \mid$$
$$\text{let } x = e \text{ in } e \mid \text{fix}$$
$$o ::= \epsilon \mid o \text{ data } m = e$$

OhML

One Step Semantics

Values and One Step

$$v ::= c \mid x \mid m \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \mid \text{obj } x \rightarrow o \mid$$
$$\text{fix } (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) \mid \text{fix} \mid \text{error}$$

Values and One Step

$$v ::= c \mid x \mid m \mid \text{fun } x \rightarrow e \mid \text{obj } x \rightarrow o \mid \\ \text{fix } (\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) \mid \text{fix} \mid \text{error}$$

$$(\text{fun } x \rightarrow e) v \mapsto e[v/x] \quad (\beta_v)$$

$$m (\text{obj } x \rightarrow o) \mapsto \text{mbody}(o; m)[\text{obj } \dots / x](\text{call}_v)$$

$$\text{let } x = v \text{ in } e \mapsto e[v/x] \quad (\text{let}_v)$$

$$\text{fix } v_1 v_2 \mapsto v_1 (\text{fix } v_1) v_2 \quad (\delta_{\text{fix}})$$

Lookup Algorithm (No Inheritance Yet)

$$\frac{}{mbody(\epsilon ; m) = \text{error}} \quad (\text{Empty})$$

$$\frac{}{mbody(o \text{ data } m = e ; m) = e} \quad (\text{Top})$$

$$\frac{m \neq n}{mbody(o \text{ data } m = e ; n) = mbody(o ; m)} \quad (\text{Next})$$

Just try before I tell you the full story ...

```
let meth  = gtx in
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (meth p)
```

Just try before I tell you the full story ...

```
let meth  = gtx in
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (meth p)
→
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (gtx p)
```

Just try before I tell you the full story ...

```

let meth  = gtx in
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (meth p)
→
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (gtx p)
→
let p = ((fun    x -> fun    y ->
          obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y) 3 4) in (gtx p)

```

Just try before I tell you the full story ...

```

let meth  = gtx in
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (meth p)
→
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (gtx p)
→
let p = ((fun    x -> fun    y ->
          obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y) 3 4) in (gtx p)
→
let p = obj this -> data gtx = 3 data gty = 4 in (gtx p)

```

Just try before I tell you the full story ...

```

let meth  = gtx in
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (meth p)
→
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (gtx p)
→
let p = ((fun    x -> fun    y ->
          obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y) 3 4) in (gtx p)
→
let p = obj this -> data gtx = 3 data gty = 4 in (gtx p)
→
gtx (obj this -> data gtx = 3 data gty = 4)

```

Just try before I tell you the full story ...

```

let meth  = gtx in
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (meth p)
→
let point = fun    x ->
              fun    y ->
                obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y in
let p = (point 3 4) in (gtx p)
→
let p = ((fun    x -> fun    y ->
          obj this -> data gtx = x data gty = y) 3 4) in (gtx p)
→
let p = obj this -> data gtx = 3 data gty = 4 in (gtx p)
→
gtx (obj this -> data gtx = 3 data gty = 4)
→ 3

```

OhML

Types

Type Syntax Contexts and Judgments

$$\tau ::= \mathbf{b} \mid \alpha \mid \langle \bar{\mathbf{m}} : \bar{\tau} \rangle \mid \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

Types

$$\Gamma ::= \epsilon \mid \Gamma, \mathbf{x} : \tau \mid \Gamma, \mathbf{c} : \tau$$

Contexts

$$\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{e} : \tau$$

Typing judg.

$$\tau_1 < : \tau_2$$

Subtyping judg.

Type Rules (I)

$$\frac{c:b \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash c : b} \text{ (Const)} \qquad \frac{x:\tau \in \Gamma}{\Gamma \vdash x : \tau} \text{ (Var)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash e : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{fun } x \rightarrow e : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2} \text{ (Fun)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 \quad \Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau_1}{\Gamma \vdash e_1 e_2 : \tau_2} \text{ (Appl)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau_1 \quad \Gamma, x:\tau_1 \vdash e_2 : \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \text{let } x = e_1 \text{ in } e_2 : \tau_2} \text{ (Let)}$$

Type Rules (II)

$$\frac{}{\Gamma \vdash m : \langle m:\tau \rangle \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Meth)}$$

$$\frac{\tau \equiv \tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash \mathbf{fix} : (\tau \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \text{ (Fix)}$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_1 \quad \tau_1 <: \tau_2}{\Gamma \vdash e : \tau_2} \text{ (Sub)}$$

Type Rules (III)

$$o \triangleq \text{data } m_1 = e_1 \dots \text{data } m_n = e_n$$

$$\forall i, j = 1 \dots n. m_i \neq m_j$$

$$\tau \triangleq \langle m_1 : \tau_1 \dots m_n : \tau_n \rangle$$

$$\Gamma, \mathbf{x} : \tau \vdash e_i : \tau_i \quad \forall i = 1 \dots n$$

$$\Gamma \vdash \text{obj } \mathbf{x} \rightarrow o : \tau \quad (\text{Obj})$$

SubType Rules (IV)

$$\frac{}{\tau <: \tau} \text{ (Refl)}$$

$$\frac{(\mathbf{b}_1, \mathbf{b}_2) \in \mathcal{R}}{\mathbf{b}_1 <: \mathbf{b}_2} \text{ (Taut)}$$

$$\frac{\tau_1 <: \tau_2 \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_3}{\tau_1 <: \tau_3} \text{ (Trans)}$$

$$\frac{\tau_3 <: \tau_1 \quad \tau_2 <: \tau_4}{\tau_1 \rightarrow \tau_2 <: \tau_3 \rightarrow \tau_4} \text{ (Arr)}$$

$$\frac{h \geq k}{\langle \mathbf{m}_1 : \tau_1 \dots \mathbf{m}_h : \tau_h \rangle <: \langle \mathbf{m}_1 : \tau_1 \dots \mathbf{m}_k : \tau_k \rangle} \text{ (Width)}$$

OhML:

Examples

A simple functional point (with its typing)

Consider the point

```
let meth = gtx in
let point = fun x ->
  fun y ->
    obj this ->
      data gtx = x
      data gty = y
in let p = (point 3 4)
in (gtx p)
```

A simple functional point (with its typing)

Consider the point

```
let meth  = gtx in
let point = fun  x ->
              fun  y ->
                obj  this ->
                  data gtx = x
                  data gty = y
in let p = (point 3 4)
in (gtx p)
```

with typing

```
|- meth      : ⟨gtx:int gty:int⟩ -> int
|- point     : int -> int -> ⟨gtx:int gty:int⟩
|- (point 3 4) : ⟨gtx:int gty:int⟩
|- gtx       : ⟨gtx:int gty:int⟩ -> int
|- (gtx p)   : int
```

OhML:

Metatheory

Properties

Spec If $\Gamma, \mathbf{x}:\tau_1 \vdash e : \tau$ and $\tau_2 <: \tau_1$ then $\Gamma, \mathbf{x}:\tau_2 \vdash e : \tau$.

Subst If $\Gamma, \mathbf{x}:\tau_1 \vdash e_1 : \tau$ and $\Gamma \vdash e_2:\tau_1$ then $\Gamma \vdash e_1[e_2/\mathbf{x}] : \tau$.

SR If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau$, and $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$, then $\Gamma \vdash e_2 : \tau$.

Progress If $\Gamma \vdash e : \tau$, then the evaluation of e cannot produce error, *i.e.* $e \not\rightarrow C[\text{error}]$ for every context $C[\cdot]$.

Progress If $\Gamma \vdash e_1 : \tau$ then, either e_1 is a value, or there exists e_2 such that $e_1 \rightarrow e_2$.

Conclusions and Agenda

- Already done:
 - ★ simple and uniform object-based language
 - ★ with subtyping (especially useful for traits)
 - ★ method as first-class citizens
 - ★ economic type system
- To be done:
 - ★ an inference algorithm
 - ★ featuring inheritance (and related issues)
 - ★ featuring polymorphism
 - ★ traits (featuring multiple inheritance)
 - ★ featuring references